Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,827	TANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DIANA J. LIAO	1793
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) DIANA J. LIAO (examiner).	(3)	
(2) Chris Ellizando.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 09 July 2009.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments, dishnow requested that the 2" sees of the ILS be considered and initiated since the initials and storature were missing in the first mailing. A supplemental notice of allowability shall be sent, with a replacement. Life.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCS OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS. OR THE MAIL MOD DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DAYMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements or reverse side or on attached sheet.		